



JAMES COOK
UNIVERSITY
AUSTRALIA

Impacts of misinformation on the translation of science with the public

Madison Green, PhD candidate

Co-authors – Dr Connor McShane

Department of Psychology

James Cook University, Townsville, Australia

Acknowledgment of Country

I would like to start today with recognition of the Wulgurukaba and Bindal peoples, the traditional owners and custodians of the lands in which this research and presentation was prepared. I pay my respects to their Elders, past and present and extend that respect to all Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples

Problem



Photo by [Melissa Bradley](#) on [Unsplash](#)



Photo by [Martin Sanchez](#) on [Unsplash](#)



Photo by [Mak](#) on [Unsplash](#)

Why do people fall for misinformation?

People are generally more susceptible to misinformation which:

- Contains cognitive elements (i.e. statistics)


Accuracy perceptions are generally being driven by:

- Emotions
- Existing attitudes and beliefs

Inoculation Theory (McGuire, 1964)

- Basic format of an inoculation message
 1. Threat
 2. Correction
- Inoculation messages can be delivered in a variety of formats
 - Active versus Passive (van der Linden & Roozenbeek pioneering gamification)

Results



$$F = 0.46; p = .710; \eta^2 = .008$$

Study 3

Aim: Evaluate the effectiveness of an inoculation intervention which indirectly addresses misinformation about GMOs.


i.e. evaluated whether addressing misinformation about renewable energy provides individuals with the tools to identify misinformation about GMOs

Online
experiment

Mixed Design


350 Participants

Australian
sample




Results – Effectiveness of Inoculation

Low scores = Not at all accurate, High scores = Very accurate; Range = 2-14




$F = 0.68; p = .556; \eta^2 = .006$



$F = 0.91; p = .436; \eta^2 = .008$


Cross protection supported. $t(347) = -6.62, p < .001$

Results – Effectiveness of Inoculation cont.



Renewable Misinformation_ENGAGEMENT_Please indicate whether you would: like, share, click link for further reading, or keep scrolling, if you saw this post on social media

$$\chi^2 (9, N = 347) = 7.60, p = .572$$



GMO Misinformation_ENGAGEMENT_Please indicate whether you would: like, share, click link for further reading, or keep scrolling, if you saw this post on social media

$$\chi^2 (9, N = 344) = 5.83, p = .757$$

Take home message

- For very contentious topics whereby people have lots of negative attitudes and emotions, a direct inoculation approach may not be effective
- The effectiveness of an indirect approach warrants further investigation



JAMES COOK
UNIVERSITY
AUSTRALIA

Thank you!

madison.green@my.jcu.edu.au

connar.mcshane@jcu.edu.au